

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- ☒ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
- ☒ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): _____ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)] | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: _____ | |

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- | | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| a. Total Acres: _____ | b. Total Miles: _____ |
| c. No. Structures: <u>16</u> | d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): _____ |
| e. No. of Laborer Days: _____ | |
| f. Other (specify): _____ | |

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: Two Months 2002

16. Target Species Benefitted: (if applicable) Coho, Steelhead, Cutthroat trout

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Cooperatively designing transportation system requiring less maintenance will result in improved water quality, wildlife habitat, and opportunities for dispersed recreation activities.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities?**

This project is in the best public interest because it is expected to improve water quality, fish passage, and hydrologic flow. Benefits to communities include improving conditions for salmon and trout by reducing substantial risk to downstream channels and fisheries resources and sediment input to stream channels.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

20. Status of Project Planning

- a. NEPA Complete: ☐ Yes ☒ No
- b. If No, give est. date of completion: Jan. 2002
- c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable
- d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- e. Survey & Manage Complete: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
- i. Project Design(s) Completed: ☐ Yes ☒ No

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- ☒ Contract ☐ Federal Workforce
- ☐ County Workforce ☐ Volunteers
- ☐ Other (specify): _____

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 130,000
- b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, then display by fiscal year
- c. FY02 Request: \$ 130,000 f. FY05 Request: \$ _____
- d. FY03 Request: \$ _____ g. FY06 Request: \$ _____
- e. FY04 Request: \$ _____

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering	\$10,000			
28. Contract Preparation	\$5,000			

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
29. Contract Administration	\$5,000			
30. Contract Cost		\$130,000		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring	\$5,000			
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$25,000	\$130,000	\$	\$

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Aquatic habitat inventories which measure the amount of fine sediment in fish habitat. BLM hydrology and fisheries personnel in conjunction with ODFW biologists.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Contractor would be required to provide answers about local employment. Training opportunities exist for development of road engineering skills. BFRA Lead Engineer or designate will be responsible for monitoring success of providing employment/training opportunities.

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act?** [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Products would not be removed with this project.

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: \$5,000